Quantum Portrait of a Black Hole with Pöschl-Teller Potential

Wolfgang Mück[a,b*] and Giancarlo Pozzo[a†]

[a] *Dipartimento di Fisica, Università degli Studi di Napoli "Federico II"*
Via Cintia, 80126 Napoli, Italy

[b] *Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Napoli*
Via Cintia, 80126 Napoli, Italy

arXiv:1403.1422v2 [hep-th] 13 Mar 2014

Abstract

We improve upon the simple model studied by Casadio and Orlandi [JHEP 1308 (2013) 025] for a black hole as a condensate of gravitons. Instead of the harmonic oscillator potential, the Pöschl-Teller potential is used, which allows for a continuum of scattering states. The quantum mechanical model is embedded into a relativistic wave equation for a complex Klein-Gordon field, and the charge of the field is interpreted as the gravitational charge (mass) carried by the graviton condensate.

1 Introduction

In a recent series of papers, Dvali and Gomez have proposed and studied what they call the quantum N-portrait of a black hole [1, 2, 3, 4, 5]. According to their proposal, black holes are nothing but a system of a very large number, N, of soft gravitons at the verge of a quantum phase transition to a self-sustained Bose-Einstein condensate (BEC). Hawking radiation and black hole evaporation are a consequence of condensate depletion, which is due to graviton-graviton interactions, while the presence of an event horizon in the classical metric description is understood as an artefact of the large-N semi-classical limit, in which the quantum $1/N$ hair has been neglected. This latter statement is qualitatively similar to results from the fuzzball proposal, see [6] for a review and [7] for a more recent contribution. Both proposals state that the classical description of gravity breaks down at the horizon, not just at the singularity, as has been the standard point of view. The quantum N-portrait may also shed light upon the species problem [8], whereas extending the idea of quantum compositeness to other gravitational backgrounds has far-reaching consequences for cosmology [9, 10], for earlier ideas see, e.g., [11]. For relates studies, see [12, 13, 14].

The quantum-N portrait is a logical consequence of another recent idea, namely classicalization of certain field theories, which are non-renormalizable in the Wilsonian sense [15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21]. The crucial statement here is that such field theories achieve ultra-violet completeness by the presence of classical field configurations called *classicalons*, which prevent ultra-short distances from being probed. Classicalons are generically characterized by a very

*wolfgang.mueck@na.infn.it
†giancarlo.poz@gmail.com

large expectation number, N, of field quanta. The classicalization phenomenon is most efficient in gravity, where black holes play the role of the classicalons, with an effective graviton number given by

$$N = \frac{M^2}{M_{\rm P}^2} \ , \tag{1}$$

where M is the black hole mass and $M_{\rm P}$ is the Planck mass. Here and in what follows, possible numerical factors of order unity are dropped. As a consequence of (1), the mean energy of a single graviton is $M/N = \hbar\omega$, and their effective de Broglie wavelength is of about the size of the gravitational radius, $1/\omega = MG$.[1] In fact, (1) has a much more general meaning. As argued in [22, 23] in analogy with electromagnetism, each macroscopic body of mass M generates a coherent state of gravitons, with the occupation number of graviton quanta given by (1). The energy carried by the gravitational field is such that the average de Broglie wavelength of the gravitons is of about the size of the body's geometric dimensions. Graviton condensation, which corresponds to black hole formation, occurs when the gravitational energy coincides with the total energy (Komar mass).

In the absence of a quantum theory of gravity, toy models are useful tools to test ideas such as the quantum-N portrait. The harmonic black hole model of Casadio and Orlandi [24, 25] describes the graviton BEC as an anisotropic fluid with the peculiar equation of state $p_{\parallel} = -\varepsilon$ (see Section 4 for the notation), and with an energy density ε proportional to the particle density in the ground state of a three-dimensional harmonic oscillator. With reasonable choices of the model parameters, they showed that the solution of Einstein's equation describes indeed a regular black hole, i.e., a gravitational configuration with an horizon, but without a singularity.

In the present paper, we improve upon Casadio and Orlandi's work in the following respects. Instead of the harmonic oscillator, which has only bound states, we shall consider the Pöschl-Teller potential [26], which has a continuum above the bound states. The Pöschl-Teller potential is one of only a handful of potentials for which the Schrödinger equation is analytically solvable. This makes it quite unique as a toy model. Moreover, it is interesting to note that the Pöschl-Teller potential has appeared in a recent study [27] on the *generalized uncertainty principle*, which is another approach to reconcile gravity with quantum physics [28, 29, 30]. A non-relativistic model containing continuum states, however, is inconsistent, because most of the scattering modes would propagate with superluminal velocities. Therefore, we construct a relativistic model of a complex Klein-Gordon field in an external potential, the solutions of which can be found from the non-relativistic model. There are a number of very interesting and useful implications of our relativistic embedding. First, the number of a priori free parameters in the model is reduced to a single scale parameter, ω, which we identify as the effective de Broglie frequency of the gravitons. Second, the charge density of the Klein-Gordon field is interpreted as the gravitational charge density (i.e., mass density) of the graviton condensate, which enters in Einstein's equation as the energy density ε of the anisotropic fluid. In summary, our model differs from [24, 25] in the use of the Pöschl-Teller potential and a relativistic wave equation.

[1] Planck's constant and the gravitational constant are expressed in terms of the Planck mass and length by $\hbar = M_{\rm P}L_{\rm P}$ and $G = L_{\rm P}/M_{\rm P}$, respectively.

In other respects we shall stick to their ideas, in particular to the marginal binding condition, which determines the strength of the potential, and the use of the anisotropic fluid energy momentum tensor with $p_{\|} = -\varepsilon$. Our results confirm that for the most natural value of ω the gravitational solution is a regular black hole.

The rest of the paper is structured as follows. In Section 2, the $l = 0$ modes of the nonrelativistic Schrödinger equation with a spherically symmetric Pöschl-Teller potential are found. Modes with $l > 0$ are not considered, because it is implicitly assumed that the potential is somehow self-generated by the BEC, so that the assumption of spherical symmetry would not be self-consistent in the presence of angular momentum. The relativistic model involving a complex Klein-Gordon field is constructed in Section 3 in such a way that the solutions found in Section 2 can be readily used. In Section 4, the gravitational solution of the toy model is found. Finally, Section 5 contains the conclusions.

2 Quantum mechanical toy model

The quantum mechanical toy model we are considering is described by the time-independent Schrödinger equation for a particle of mass m moving in a spherically symmetric Pöschl-Teller potential

$$\left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\nabla^2 + V(r)\right]\Psi = E\Psi \ , \tag{2}$$

where [26]

$$V(r) = -\frac{\hbar^2\omega^2\lambda(\lambda+1)}{2m\cosh^2(\omega r)} \ . \tag{3}$$

Written in this form, ω is a constant of dimension L^{-1} defining the characteristic length scale of the system, and $\lambda > 0$ is a dimensionless parameter, which we shall fix later.[2]

The standard decomposition of the wave function into spherical harmonics,

$$\Psi = \frac{\chi(r)}{r}Y_{lm}(\Omega) \tag{4}$$

gives rise to the radial Schrödinger equation

$$\left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\partial_r^2 + \frac{\hbar^2 l(l+1)}{2mr^2} + V(r)\right]\chi(r) = E\chi(r) \ , \tag{5}$$

with the condition

$$\chi(r) = \mathcal{O}(r) \qquad \text{for } r \to 0. \tag{6}$$

We will restrict our attention to the $l = 0$ modes, because in a self-consistent model there would be no reason to assume the spherical symmetry of the potential for non-zero angular momentum. Thus, (5) reduces to a one-dimensional Scrödinger equation with potential $V(r)$. Because of (6), only the odd eigenfunctions are allowed. For the Pöschl-Teller potential, the solutions are known analytically. Let us briefly summarize the solution of (5) following the presentation of [31].

[2] We have shifted λ by 1 with respect to [26, 31].

After defining a wave number k by

$$E = \frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{2m} \ , \tag{7}$$

changing variable to

$$\rho = -\sinh^2(\omega r) \ , \tag{8}$$

which implies $\rho \in (-\infty, 0)$, and writing

$$\chi(r) = (1-\rho)^{-\lambda/2} f(\rho) \ , \tag{9}$$

(5) gives rise to a hypergeometric differential equation for the function $f(\rho)$,

$$\left\{ \rho(1-\rho)\partial_\rho^2 + \left[\frac{1}{2} - (1-\lambda)\rho \right] \partial_\rho - \frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{k^2}{\omega^2} + \lambda^2 \right) \right\} f(\rho) = 0 \ . \tag{10}$$

Then, with the parameters

$$a = \frac{1}{2}\left(-\lambda - i\frac{k}{\omega} \right) \ , \qquad b = \frac{1}{2}\left(-\lambda + i\frac{k}{\omega} \right) \ , \tag{11}$$

the general solution of (10) is

$$f(\rho) = a_1 \, \mathrm{F}\left(a, b; \frac{1}{2}; \rho \right) + a_2 \rho^{\frac{1}{2}} \, \mathrm{F}\left(a + \frac{1}{2}, b + \frac{1}{2}; \frac{3}{2}; \rho \right) \ , \tag{12}$$

where $\mathrm{F} \equiv {}_2\mathrm{F}_1$ denotes the Gauss hypergeometric function [32], and a_1 and a_2 are constants. The condition (6) requires $a_1 = 0$. Therefore, the solution for $\chi(r)$ is found to be

$$\chi(r) = C \frac{\sinh(\omega r)}{\cosh^\lambda(\omega r)} \, \mathrm{F}\left(a + \frac{1}{2}, b + \frac{1}{2}; \frac{3}{2}; -\sinh^2(\omega r) \right) \ , \tag{13}$$

with C denoting a normalization constant.

To find the asymptotic behaviour of χ for large r, one can use a transformation formula such as 9.132 of [32], with the result

$$\chi(r) \approx \frac{1}{4} C \left(c_1 \, \mathrm{e}^{ikr} + c_2 \, \mathrm{e}^{-ikr} \right) \qquad (r \to \infty) \ , \tag{14}$$

where c_1 and c_2 are the two constants

$$c_1 = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{ik}{2\omega}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{ik}{2\omega}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1-\lambda}{2} + \frac{ik}{2\omega}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{2+\lambda}{2} + \frac{ik}{2\omega}\right)} \ , \qquad c_2 = \frac{\Gamma\left(-\frac{ik}{2\omega}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{ik}{2\omega}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1-\lambda}{2} - \frac{ik}{2\omega}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{2+\lambda}{2} - \frac{ik}{2\omega}\right)} \ . \tag{15}$$

For $E > 0$, (14) describes a superposition of outgoing and incoming spherical waves. These solutions form the continuum of scattering states. For $E < 0$, it is useful to define

$$k = i\kappa \ , \qquad (\kappa \geq 0) \ . \tag{16}$$

The normalizability of the wave function for bound states requires $c_2 = 0$, which implies that κ must assume discrete values,

$$\frac{\kappa_n}{\omega} = \lambda - 1 - 2n \ , \qquad n = 0, 1, \ldots, \left[\frac{\lambda - 1}{2} \right] \ . \tag{17}$$

In a non-relativistic setting, the above analysis entirely solves the problem of finding the eigenstates and the spectrum of the Hamiltonian of our toy model, for any values of the parameters m, ω and λ. Moreover, the dynamics of the quantum states would be given in terms of the time-dependent Schrödinger equation. It is easy to see that this would lead to superluminal scattering modes, which impedes a physical interpretation. To obviate this problem, we need to embed our non-relativistic toy model into a relativistic wave equation. This will be done in the next section. As a very welcome by-product of this embedding, the mass parameter m will cease to be an independent quantity, and the microscopic toy model will be characterized solely by the inverse length scale ω.

3 Relativistic toy model

Let Ψ now be a complex Klein-Gordon field. The Klein-Gordon equation admits the introduction of two independent potentials,

$$\Big\{-\left[i\hbar\partial_t - V(\mathbf{x})\right]^2 - \hbar^2\nabla^2 + \left[S(\mathbf{x}) + \mu\right]^2\Big\}\,\Psi(t,\mathbf{x}) = 0\ , \tag{18}$$

where $V(\mathbf{x})$ and $S(\mathbf{x})$ are the "vector" and "scalar" potentials, respectively, and μ is the rest mass.[3] For time-independent potentials, we make the ansatz

$$\Psi(t,\mathbf{x}) = \mathrm{e}^{-i\epsilon t/\hbar}\,\Psi(\mathbf{x})\ , \tag{19}$$

so that $i\hbar\partial_t$ in (18) can be replaced by the energy ϵ. We shall be interested in the positive-energy modes only. If one adopts the particular choice $S = V$,[4] (18) gives rise to

$$\left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2(\epsilon+\mu)}\nabla^2 + V - \frac{1}{2}(\epsilon-\mu)\right]\Psi(\mathbf{x}) = 0\ , \tag{20}$$

which is just (2) with

$$m = \epsilon + \mu\ , \qquad E = \frac{1}{2}\,(\epsilon - \mu)\ . \tag{21}$$

Therefore, the time-independent Schrödinger equation (2) and its solutions can be straightforwardly promoted to a relativistic toy model. In this setting, m ceases to be an independent mass parameter. Combining (21) with (7) yields the relativistic dispersion relation

$$\epsilon^2 = \mu^2 + \hbar^2 k^2\ . \tag{22}$$

Because the potential V in (20) must be independent of ϵ, the parameter λ in (3) becomes energy-dependent. Let us denote this by a subscript. Thus, let us write

$$\lambda_\epsilon(\lambda_\epsilon + 1) = \frac{\epsilon+\mu}{\mu}\xi\ , \tag{23}$$

[3] V behaves as the time component of the electromagnetic vector potential, S transforms as a scalar under Lorentz transformations.

[4] Interest in this case has arisen recently in the context of the physics of nuclei and is motivated by the pseudospin symmetry. It is intriguing that, just as in the free case, for $S = \pm V$ the Klein-Gordon equation is the square of a Dirac equation. See [33] and references therein.

with a constant ξ that will be determined presently.

To fix the parameters, we use the same conditions as in [24], namely the existence of a single bound state, which is the ground state, and the coincidence of the would-be first excited bound state with the onset of the continuum (marginal binding). The marginal binding condition implements the statement that quanta excited by condensate depletion must be emitted from the black hole in the form of Hawking radiation. In addition, we shall minimize the energy of the ground state by setting $\epsilon_0 = 0$,[5] which makes the ground state wave function time-independent.

Therefore, using (17) with $n = 1$, the marginal binding condition determines the value of λ at the onset of the continuum,

$$\lambda_{k=0} = 3 \ . \tag{24}$$

Then, because of $\epsilon_{k=0} = \mu$ from (22), (24) and (23) yield

$$\xi = 6 \ . \tag{25}$$

Furthermore, $\epsilon_0 = 0$ implies that the effective graviton mass μ coincides with the energy gap above the ground state. To find the value of λ for the ground state, we use again (23), which gives

$$\lambda_0 = 2 \ . \tag{26}$$

Substituting this into (17) for $n = 0$, one finds $\kappa_0 = \omega$. In turn, this implies with (22) that also μ is not an independent parameter, but is given by

$$\mu = \hbar\omega \ . \tag{27}$$

Hence, as anticipated, in the relativistic embedding of the toy model all parameters are fixed in terms of the scale parameter ω. With these results, the potential (3) reads

$$V(r) = -\frac{3\hbar\omega}{\cosh^2(\omega r)} \ . \tag{28}$$

Finally, let us find the normalized wave function of the ground state. The Klein-Gordon inner product is

$$(\Psi_1, \Psi_2) = -i \int \mathrm{d}^3x \, n^\mu \left(\Psi_1 D_\mu \Psi_2^* - \Psi_2^* D_\mu \Psi_1\right) \ , \tag{29}$$

where D_μ denotes the gauge-covariant derivative including the vector potential, and n^μ the time-like normal vector. Explicitly,

$$n^\mu D_\mu \Psi = \left(\partial_t + iV/\hbar\right) \Psi \ , \tag{30}$$

and the complex conjugate for Ψ^*. Hence, because the ground state wave function is time-independent ($\epsilon_0 = 0$), the norm of the ground state is

$$(\Psi_0, \Psi_0) = -\frac{2}{\hbar} \int \mathrm{d}^3x \, V |\Psi_0|^2 \ . \tag{31}$$

[5]Remember that we do not consider the negative energy solutions.

Consider the radial wave function (13). For the ground state, the parameters are $a = -\frac{1}{2}$ and $b = -\frac{3}{2}$, so that the hypergeometric function in (13) is just unity. Thus, together with (26), (4) and (28), one finds

$$(\Psi_0, \Psi_0) = 6C^2 \int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}x \, \frac{\sinh^2 x}{\cosh^6 x} = \frac{4}{5} C^2 \ , \tag{32}$$

from which we infer $C^2 = 5/4$.

4 Black hole

Macroscopically, we model the graviton BEC as an anisotropic fluid. The local energy momentum tensor of an anisotropic fluid is of the form

$$T^{\mu\nu} = (\varepsilon + p_\perp) u^\mu u^\nu + p_\perp g^{\mu\nu} - (p_\perp - p_\parallel) v^\mu v^\nu \ , \tag{33}$$

where the vectors u^μ and v^μ satisfy

$$u^\mu u_\mu = -1 \ , \qquad v^\mu v_\mu = 1 \ , \qquad u^\mu v_\mu = 0 \ , \tag{34}$$

and ε, $p_\perp$ and $p_\parallel$ denote the energy density and the pressures perpendicular and parallel to the space-like vector v^μ, respectively. For an isotropic fluid, where $p_\perp = p_\parallel$, only the time-like u^μ is relevant. Energy-momentum conservation

$$\nabla_\mu T^{\mu\nu} = 0 \tag{35}$$

imposes a relation between ε, $p_\perp$ and $p_\parallel$.

For a static, spherically symmetric metric,

$$\mathrm{d}s^2 = -f(r) \, \mathrm{e}^{\gamma(r)} \, \mathrm{d}t^2 + \frac{1}{f(r)} \, \mathrm{d}r^2 + r^2 \, \mathrm{d}\Omega^2 \ , \tag{36}$$

u^μ and v^μ are given by

$$u^\mu = \left(f^{-1/2} \, \mathrm{e}^{-\gamma/2}, 0, 0, 0 \right) \ , \qquad v^\mu = \left(0, f^{1/2}, 0, 0 \right) \ . \tag{37}$$

Einstein's equation

$$R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} R = 8\pi G T_{\mu\nu} \tag{38}$$

is solved by

$$f(r) = 1 - \frac{2G}{r} M(r) \ , \tag{39}$$

$$\gamma'(r) = \frac{8\pi G r}{f(r)} \left(\varepsilon + p_\parallel \right) \ , \tag{40}$$

where the prime denotes differentiation with respect to r, and

$$M(r) = 4\pi \int_0^r \mathrm{d}\tilde{r} \, \tilde{r}^2 \varepsilon(\tilde{r}) \tag{41}$$

can be interpreted as the energy contained within a sphere of radius r. We note that f and γ are determined by ε and $p_\parallel$ alone. The perpendicular pressure $p_\perp$ follows from the energy-momentum conservation law (35),

$$p_\perp = p_\parallel + \frac{r}{2}\left[p_\parallel' + \frac{1}{2}\left(\varepsilon + p_\parallel\right)\left(\frac{f'}{f} + \gamma'\right)\right] \ . \tag{42}$$

Our BEC model follows [24] in using the equation of state

$$\varepsilon + p_\parallel = 0 \ , \tag{43}$$

which is possible in BECs [34], although it is not crucial in what follows. For our argument, $f(r)$ is the crucial function, and here it is sufficient to identify ε with the charge density of the complex Klein-Gordon field in the ground state of our toy model. Hence, we interpret the charge of the Klein-Gordon field as a *gravitational charge*. Consequently, the calculation of $M(r)$ from (41) is similar to the calculation of the norm of the ground state in the previous section. Instead of (32), we have

$$M(r) = 6C^2 M \int_0^{\omega r} \mathrm{d}x \, \frac{\sinh^2 x}{\cosh^6 x} = \frac{M}{2}\tanh^3(\omega r)\left[5 - 3\tanh^2(\omega r)\right] \ . \tag{44}$$

where M is the total mass of the black hole.[6]

To proceed, it is convenient to introduce the dimensionless variables

$$\rho = \frac{r}{MG} = \frac{2r}{r_g} \ , \qquad \nu = MG\omega \ , \tag{45}$$

where r_g is the gravitational radius of the mass M. Then, with (44), (39) becomes

$$f_\nu(\rho) = 1 - \frac{1}{\rho}\tanh^3(\nu\rho)\left[5 - 3\tanh^2(\nu\rho)\right] \ , \tag{46}$$

where, for the sake of clarity, we have indicated the dependence of f on the parameter ν by the subscript. Fig. 1 shows plots of $f_\nu(\rho)$ for various values of ν. It is evident that, for increasing values of ν, the toy model black holes rapidly approach a Schwarzschild black hole.

Seen as a function of ν, $f_\nu(\rho)$ is monotonically decreasing,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\nu} f_\nu(\rho) = -\frac{15\sinh^2(\nu\rho)}{\cosh^6(\nu\rho)} < 0 \ . \tag{47}$$

It follows that

$$1 = f_0(\rho) \geq f_\nu(\rho) \geq f_\infty(\rho) = 1 - \frac{2}{\rho} \ . \tag{48}$$

[6] It is amusing to observe that the same result would have been obtained in a purely non-relativistic toy model. In such a model, λ would be fixed to $\lambda = 3$ by the marginal binding condition, so that the ground state radial wave function would proportional to $\chi \sim \sinh(\omega r)/\cosh^3(\omega r)$. Identifying the non-relativistic toy model particle density with the energy density ε would yield (44). The extra factor of $1/\cosh^2(\omega r)$ in the relativistic model is provided by the potential V in the Klein-Gordon norm (31).



Figure 1: Plots of $f_\nu(\rho)$ for $\nu = 0, 0.3, 0.5, \nu_*, 1, 1.4$, from top to bottom.

Therefore, if an horizon exists, its radius must be smaller than the gravitational radius, $r_h \leq r_g = 2MG$.

Let us determine the condition for the existence of an horizon. For any given ν, $f_\nu(\rho)$ has a single local minimum at $\rho_* = x_*/\nu$, where x_* is the solution of

$$30x_* = \sinh(2x_*)\,[4 + \cosh(2x_*)] \ . \tag{49}$$

Numerically, one finds

$$x_* = 1.03121306 \ . \tag{50}$$

Writing

$$f_\nu(\rho_*) = 1 - \frac{\nu}{\nu_*} \ , \tag{51}$$

with

$$\nu_* = \frac{x_*}{\tanh^3 x_*[5 - 3\tanh^2 x_*]} = \frac{\cosh^6 x_*}{15\sinh^2 x_*} = 0.69372008 \ , \tag{52}$$

the condition for the existence of an horizon is

$$\nu > \nu_* \ . \tag{53}$$

The case $\nu = \nu_*$ is extremal.

Our final task is to show that the most natural value for ν, according to the BEC picture of black holes, satisfies (53). In our quantum-mechanical toy model, we have taken into account the marginal binding of gravitons in the condensate and minimized the ground state energy. This left us with the scale ω as the only free parameter, which determines also the effective mass of the gravitons in the ground state, $m = \mu = \hbar\omega$. Therefore, if N is the mean number

of gravitons in the BEC, we are led to write the quantum relation between the total mass and the scale parameter ω as

$$M = N\hbar\omega \ . \tag{54}$$

Together with (1), this yields the effective graviton mass and de Broglie wavelength in Planck units

$$\hbar\omega = \frac{M_{\rm P}}{\sqrt{N}} \ , \qquad \frac{1}{\omega} = \sqrt{N} L_{\rm P} \ , \tag{55}$$

in agreement with the quantum N-portrait relations. Moreover, it follows that the typical value of ν is unity. Indeed, simply rewriting ν from (45) yields

$$\nu = MG\omega = M\frac{G}{\hbar}\hbar\omega = \frac{M^2}{M_P^2}\frac{\hbar\omega}{M} = N\frac{1}{N} = 1 \ . \tag{56}$$

5 Conclusions

In this paper, we have improved upon the quantum mechanical toy model of Casadio and Orlandi for the quantum N-portrait of a black hole. Our model features the Pöschl-Teller potential and a relativistic wave equation, such that a continuum of scattering states exists above the ground state and the scattering states possess a relativistic dispersion relation. The charge density of the complex Klein-Gordon field in the ground state is interpreted as a gravitational charge. It has been shown that, fixing the only parameter of the toy model to its most natural value for a BEC of gravitons, the gravitational solution which arises describes indeed a black hole.

Besides the improvement, our model suggests some speculations about fundamental questions in general relativity. Take for example mass. One notion of mass in stationary space-times is the Komar mass, which can be written as the integral over contributions from the matter energy momentum tensor throughout the visible space-time, plus the masses hidden behind the horizons of black holes. Whereas the gravitational field does not contribute to the visible mass, it should carry the entire mass of the black holes, which are simply graviton BECs according to the quantum N-portrait. Our toy model wave function suggests that also the quantum field of gravitons carries mass, possibly in the form of a gravitational charge. Being vanishingly small for ordinary macroscopic bodies, this mass is not accounted for in classical general relativity.[7] This may be why in situations in which it is truly important, i.e., in black holes, it is simply camouflaged by an horizon. However, there must clearly exist a cross-over regime, in which both contributions, that of matter and of the graviton field, are important. Such a situation might arise in very dense neutron stars, and it is interesting to see that there are studies of such extreme objects, which also involve Bose-Einstein condensation [35].

[7] A simple estimate is obtained as follows. A body of mass M and size R is surrounded by a coherent quantum field of $N = M^2/M_{\rm P}^2$ gravitons, which carries a mass $m_{\rm grav} = N\hbar/R = Mr_g/R$, where $r_g \sim MG$ is the gravitational radius.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Roberto Casadio and Alessio Orlandi for stimulating discussions. This work was supported by the European Science and Technology (COST) action "The String Theory Universe", the European Science Foundation's HoloGrav network and the INFN research initiative "String Theory and Fundamental Interactions".

References

[1] G. Dvali and C. Gomez, *Black Hole's Quantum N-Portrait*, *Fortsch.Phys.* **61** (2013) 742–767, [arXiv:1112.3359].

[2] G. Dvali and C. Gomez, *Landau-Ginzburg Limit of Black Hole's Quantum Portrait: Self Similarity and Critical Exponent*, *Phys.Lett.* **B716** (2012) 240–242, [arXiv:1203.3372].

[3] G. Dvali and C. Gomez, *Black Hole's 1/N Hair*, *Phys.Lett.* **B719** (2013) 419–423, [arXiv:1203.6575].

[4] G. Dvali and C. Gomez, *Black Hole Macro-Quantumness*, arXiv:1212.0765.

[5] G. Dvali and C. Gomez, *Black Holes as Critical Point of Quantum Phase Transition*, arXiv:1207.4059.

[6] S. D. Mathur, *The Fuzzball proposal for black holes: An Elementary review*, *Fortsch.Phys.* **53** (2005) 793–827, [hep-th/0502050].

[7] S. D. Mathur, *Fuzzballs and black hole thermodynamics*, arXiv:1401.4097.

[8] G. Dvali, C. Gomez, and D. Lüst, *Black Hole Quantum Mechanics in the Presence of Species*, *Fortsch.Phys.* **61** (2013) 768–778, [arXiv:1206.2365].

[9] P. Binetruy, *Vacuum energy, holography and a quantum portrait of the visible Universe*, arXiv:1208.4645.

[10] G. Dvali and C. Gomez, *Quantum Compositeness of Gravity: Black Holes, AdS and Inflation*, arXiv:1312.4795.

[11] T. Fukuyama and M. Morikawa, *Relativistic Gross-Pitaevskii equation and the cosmological Bose Einstein condensation: Quantum structure in universe*, *J.Phys.Conf.Ser.* **31** (2006) 139–142, [astro-ph/0509789].

[12] D. Flassig, A. Pritzel, and N. Wintergerst, *Black Holes and Quantumness on Macroscopic Scales*, *Phys.Rev.* **D87** (2013) 084007, [arXiv:1212.3344].

[13] G. Dvali and C. Gomez, *Black Hole's Information Group*, arXiv:1307.7630.

[14] G. Dvali, D. Flassig, C. Gomez, A. Pritzel, and N. Wintergerst, *Scrambling in the Black Hole Portrait*, arXiv:1307.3458.

[15] G. Dvali, G. F. Giudice, C. Gomez, and A. Kehagias, *UV-Completion by Classicalization*, *JHEP* **1108** (2011) 108, [arXiv:1010.1415].

[16] G. Dvali and C. Gomez, *Self-Completeness of Einstein Gravity*, arXiv:1005.3497.

[17] G. Dvali, C. Gomez, and A. Kehagias, *Classicalization of Gravitons and Goldstones*, *JHEP* **1111** (2011) 070, [arXiv:1103.5963].

[18] L. Alberte and F. Bezrukov, *Semiclassical Calculation of Multiparticle Scattering Cross Sections in Classicalizing Theories*, *Phys.Rev.* **D86** (2012) 105008, [arXiv:1206.5311].

[19] A. Kovner and M. Lublinsky, *Classicalization and Unitarity*, *JHEP* **1211** (2012) 030, [arXiv:1207.5037].

[20] A. Vikman, *Suppressing Quantum Fluctuations in Classicalization*, *Europhys.Lett.* **101** (2013) 34001, [arXiv:1208.3647].

[21] F. Berkhahn, S. Müller, F. Niedermann, and R. Schneider, *Microscopic Picture of Non-Relativistic Classicalons*, arXiv:1302.6581.

[22] W. Mück, *On the number of soft quanta in classical field configurations*, *Can.J.Phys.* (2014) [arXiv:1306.6245].

[23] W. Mück, *Counting Photons in Static Electric and Magnetic Fields*, *Eur.Phys.J.* **C73** (2013) 2679, [arXiv:1310.6909].

[24] R. Casadio and A. Orlandi, *Quantum Harmonic Black Holes*, *JHEP* **1308** (2013) 025, [arXiv:1302.7138].

[25] R. Casadio and A. Orlandi, *Quantum Harmonic Black Holes (Proceeding of the Karl Schwarzschild Meeting 2013)*, arXiv:1310.6216.

[26] G. Pöschl and E. Teller, *Bemerkungen zur Quantenmechanik des anharmonischen Oszillators*, *Zeitschrift für Physik* **83** (1933) 143–151.

[27] V. Husain, D. Kothawala, and S. S. Seahra, *Generalized uncertainty principles and quantum field theory*, *Phys.Rev.* **D87** (2013) 025014, [arXiv:1208.5761].

[28] A. Kempf, G. Mangano, and R. B. Mann, *Hilbert space representation of the minimal length uncertainty relation*, *Phys.Rev.* **D52** (1995) 1108–1118, [hep-th/9412167].

[29] A. Kempf and G. Mangano, *Minimal length uncertainty relation and ultraviolet regularization*, *Phys.Rev.* **D55** (1997) 7909–7920, [hep-th/9612084].

[30] M. Isi, J. Mureika, and P. Nicolini, *Self-Completeness and the Generalized Uncertainty Principle*, *JHEP* **1311** (2013) 139, [arXiv:1310.8153].

[31] S. Flügge, *Practical Quantum Mechanics*. Springer, 1994.

[32] I. S. Gradshteyn and I. M. Ryzhik, *Table of Integrals, Series and Products*. Academic Press, New York, 5 ed., 1994.

[33] A. Alhaidari, H. Bahlouli, and A. Al-Hasan, *The Dirac and Klein-Gordon equations with equal scalar and vector potentials*, *Phys.Lett.* **A349** (2006) 87–97, [hep-th/0503208].

[34] L. Pitaevskii and S. Stringari, *Bose-Einstein Condensation*. Oxford University Press, 2003.

[35] P.-H. Chavanis and T. Harko, *Bose-Einstein Condensate general relativistic stars*, *Phys.Rev.* **D86** (2012) 064011, [arXiv:1108.3986].